

Rapid Watershed Assessment

Shenango Watershed

Rapid watershed assessments provide initial estimates of where conservation investments would best address the concerns of landowners, conservation districts, and other community organizations and stakeholders. These assessments help landowners and local leaders set priorities and determine the best actions to achieve their goals.



Table of Contents



Preface

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is initiating rapid watershed assessments in order to increase the speed and efficiency generating resource information to guide conservation implementation, as well as the speed and efficiency of putting it into the hands of local decision makers. While these rapid assessments provide less detail and analysis than full-blown studies and plans, they do provide a foundation for watershed studies or area planning. In addition, the assessments provide the benefits of NRCS locally-led planning for resource conservation and conservation program implementation in less time and at a reduced cost than more complex studies.

Rapid watershed assessments will be valuable for Farm Bill program delivery, and provide useful information for county, watershed and regional planners. These assessments provide initial estimates of where conservation investments would best address the concerns of landowners, conservation districts, and other community organizations and stakeholders. These assessments can help landowners and local leaders set priorities and determine the best actions to achieve their goals.

To produce the assessments, quantitative and qualitative data is collected and organized to create a watershed profile using Geographic Information System (GIS) technology. The data is analyzed to allow resource concerns and conditions to become apparent, and to generate maps and information to help people make better decisions about conservation needs and programs.

/s/ Craig R. Derickson
Pennsylvania State Conservationist



Introduction

The Shenango Watershed is located in Northwestern Pennsylvania in portions of Crawford, Lawrence, and Mercer Counties. The Shenango Watershed also extends into Ohio. The Shenango Watershed is over 682,000 acres in size, of which more than 502,000 acres are in Pennsylvania. In the watershed, there are over 191,000 acres of cropland. Three Service Centers of the Natural Resources Conservation Service, three county Conservation Districts and a portion of the Penn Soil Resource Conservation and Development Council provide conservation assistance in this watershed.

	Acres in HUC	% Acres of HUC
Crawford	101,006	19.1
Lawrence	89,588	16.8
Mercer	311,740	64.1







Common Resource Area (CRA)[1]

139 - Lake Erie Glaciated Plateau: This CRA is a gently rolling to strong rolling, dissected plateau. A narrow band along Lake Erie is fairly flat. Soils are very deep, well drained to poorly drained, and loamy or clayey. Feed grain and forage for diary cattle are the main crops in the area.

*N*atershed
meters) at
25 meters)



Land Use / Land Cover 2001[3]



	Acres	Percent
Water	22,311.1	4.4
Developed, Open Space	44,193.6	8.8
Developed, Low Intensity	20,470.6	4.1
Developed, Medium Intensity	64511.1	.9
Developed, High Intensity	1742.8	.3
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	100.8	-
Deciduous Forest	191,175.7	38.1
Evergreen Forest	3632.0	.7
Mixed Forest	972.9	.2
Pasture/Hay	97,314.4	19.4
Cultivated Crops	93,740.2	18.7
Woody Wetlands	11,043.5	2.2
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	737.7	.1
Shrub / Scrub	3095.6	.6
Grassland / Herbaceous	7304.2	1.5





Average Annual Precipitation (Inches)[4]

National Wetlands Inventory[5]

Wetlands are lands where saturation with water is the dominant factor determining the nature of soil development and the types of plant and animal communities living in the soil and on its surface.

NWI digital data files are records of wetlands location and classification as developed by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. The classification system was adopted as a national classification standard in 1996 by the Federal Geographic Data Committee.



National Wetlands Inventory



Impaired Streams [6]

The Streams Integrated List (2006) represents stream assessments in an integrated format for the Clean Water Act Section 305(b) reporting and Section 303(d) listing. PA Department of Environmental Protection protects 4 stream water uses: aquatic life, fish consumption, potable water supply, and recreation. The 305(b) layers represents stream segments that have been evaluated for attainment of those uses and determine which streams are non-attaining.







Abandoned Mine Land and Abandoned Mine Drainage Impaired Streams[7]

Coal mining in Pennsylvania began in the mid-1700's. Pennsylvania is the fourth largest coal producer in the United States, producing over 69.5 million tons in 1995 in 878 mining operations.
The environmental legacy of hundreds of years of coal mining in PA includes over 2,400 miles of PA's 84,000 miles of streams effected by acid mine drainage from old coal mining operations. Acid mine drainage in the single largest source of water pollution in the state. Since 1967, Pennsylvania and the federal government have invested close to $500 million to correct problems from abandoned surface and deep mines. There are acid mine drainage treatment plants around the state to treat acid mine drainage discharges.



Shenango Watershed





Causes of Urban Runoff/Storm Sewer Impaired Streams:

- Nutrients
- Siltation
- Unknown
- Streams
- Townships
- County Boundary



Other Sources of Impairment:

- Channelization and Road Runoff
- Habitat Modifications
- Hydromodifications
- Natural Sources
- Other
- Package Plants
- Unknown
- Streams
- Townships
- County Boundary





Exceptional Value and High Quality Streams[8]

In accordance to Chapter 93 of Pennsylvania Code, streams with excellent water quality may be designated High Quality Waters (HQ) or Exceptional Value Waters (EV). The water quality in an HQ stream can be lowered only if a discharge is the result of necessary social or economic development, the water quality criteria are met, and all existing uses of the stream are protected. EV waters are to be protected at their existing quality; water quality shall not be lowered.
There are no Exceptional Value or High Quality Streams in this watershed.

Pennsylvania Trout Waters[9]

Approved Trout Waterbodies and Approved Trout Streams are waters which contain significant portions that are open to the public for fishing and are stocked with trout. Wilderness Trout Streams are designed to protect and promote native (brook trout) fisheries, the ecological requirements necessary for natural reproduction of trout and wilderness aesthetics. The superior quality of these watersheds is considered an important part of the overall angling experience on wilderness trout streams.



^ Fish Hatcheries
# Approved Trout Waterbodies
Approved Trout Streams
Townships
County Boundary





Total Maximum Daily Load[10]

A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) sets a ceiling on the pollutant loads that can enter a water body so the water body will meet water quality standards. The Clean Water Act requires states to list all waters that do not meet their water quality standards even after pollution controls required by law are in place. For these waters, the state must calculate how much of a substance can be put in the water without violating the standard and distribute that quantity to all the sources ofthe pollutant on that water body. A TMDL plan includes waste load allocations for point sources, load allocations for nonpoint sources, and a margin of safety.
TMDL plans were completed in the shaded areas of this watershed in 2003 due to other reasons than acid mine drainage.

Water Quality Testing Points[11]

The water quality testing points are locations at which the water quality is monitored by volunteers. A database of these points contains information on water quality from 1986 to the present from 622 testing sites throughout Pennsylvania. Information in records includes at least alkalinity and pH and includes nitrates and phosphates for some sites since 1996.





Water Resource Points[12]

A Water Resource is a DEP primary facility type related to the Water Use Planning Program. The sub-facility types related to Water Resources that are included are:

Discharge: represents the return of water used at a Water Resources primary facility. The subfacility type may be a sewage treatment plant, instream discharge, spray irrigation field, groundwater recharge, on-lot septic or an unidentified facility type.

Ground Water Withdrawal: represents the withdrawal of water used at a Water Resources primary facility. The subfacility type may be a well, spring, quarry, infiltration gallery, deep mine, surface mine or an unidentified facility type.

Interconnection: represents the point of interconnection between Water Resources primary facilities. The subfacility type may be for an interconnection between two public water supply agencies or between a public water supply agency and a commercial or industrial water user.

Storage: represents the storage of water used at a Water Resources primary facility. The subfacility type represents raw or treated water storage and may be a quarry, standpipe, open off-stream reservoir, closed off-stream reservoir, instream reservoir, hydroelectric dam, natural lake, pond,silt dam, hydroelectric pumped storage or an unidentified facility type.

Surface Water Withdrawal: represents the withdrawal of water used at a Water Resources primary facility. The subfacility type may be an instream diversion, intake from a dam, natural lake, pond, river well, or an unidentified facility type.







Natural Heritage Inventory Sites[13]

These areas are intended to identify outstanding floral, faunal, and geologic features, including natural communities (habitats) and locations of animal and plant species of special concern (endangered, threatened, or rare). Area Types in this watershed include:

BDA - Biological Diversity Area - an area containing plants or animals of special concern at state or federal levels, exemplary natural communities, or exceptional native diversity. BDAs include both the immediate habitat and surrounding lands important in the support of these special elements.

LCA - Landscape Conservation Area - a large contiguous area that is important because of its size, open space, habitats, and/or inclusion of one or more Biological Diversity Areas. Although an LCA includes a variety of land uses, it typically has not been heavily disturbed and thus retains much of its natural character.

- BDA
- LCA
- Townships
- County Boundary

Pennsylvania Breeding Bird Atlas[14]

The 1st Pennsylvania Breeding Bird Atlas (1992) assesses the distribution of breeding birds across the state. The areas below are confirmed breeding areas for species. Fourteen birds species from Pennsylvania's state Wildlife Action Plan associated with agricultural landscapes were focused on in this assessment, not all have confirmed breeding area in this watershed.

- # American Woodcock
- # Blackbilled Cuckoo
- # Bobolink
- # Eastern Meadowlark
- # Grasshopper Sparrow
- # Henslows Sparrow
- # Marsh Wren
- # Redheaded Woodpecker
- Townships
- County Boundary







Important Bird Areas[15]

Important Bird Areas (IBA) are sites that provide essential habitat for one or more species of bird. IBAs include sites for breeding, wintering, and/or migrating birds. IBAs may be a few acres or thousands of acres, but usually they are discrete sites that stand out from the surrounding landscape. IBAs may include public or private lands, or both, and they may be protected or unprotected.

Important Bird Areas
Townships
County Boundary

Important Mammal Areas[16]

The Important Mammal Areas Project is being carried out by a broad based alliance of sportsmen, conservation organizations, wildlife professionals, and scientists. The primary concern is to help ensure the future of Pennsylvania's wild mammals, both game and non-game species. Although particular attention is given to species of special concern, they are also interested in habitats that simply have high mammal diversity. Because a commitment to preserve natural heritage requires understanding the needs of native species, they also identify places where people can learn about mammals and enjoy them in their natural environment.

Important Mammal Areas
Townships
County Boundary



Soils [17]



Drainage Classification

Drainage class (natural) refers to the frequency and duration of wet periods under conditions similar to those under which the soil formed. Alterations of the water regime by human activities, either through drainage or irrigation, are not a consideration unless they have significantly changed the morphology of the soil. Seven classes of natural soil drainage are recognized -- excessively drained, somewhat excessively drained, well drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, poorly drained, and very poorly drained. These classes are defined in the "Soil Survey Manual."

Drainage Classification

	% Area
Excessively - Somewhat excessively drained	.6
Well drained	7.8
Moderately well drained	17.8
Somewhat poorly drained	39.7
Poorly -Very poorly drained	28.2
Water	3.9
Unclassified	2.0
County Boundary	



Farmland Classification

Farmland classification identifies soil map units as prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, farmland of local importance, or unique farmland. Farmland classification identifies the location and extent of the most suitable land for producing food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. NRCS policy and procedures on prime and unique farmlands are published in the Federal Register, Vol. 43, No. 21, January 31, 1978.

Farmland Classification	% Area
All areas are prime farmland	42.0
Farmland of statewide importance	43.3
Not prime farmland or statewide importance	14.7
County Boundary	

Hydric Soil Classification

This rating provides an indication of the proportion of the map unit that meets criteria for hydric soils. Map units that are dominantly made up of hydric soils may have small areas, or inclusions, of nonhydric soils in the higher positions on the landform, and map units dominantly made up of nonhydric soils may have inclusions of hydric soils in the lower positions on the landform.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). These soils, under natural conditions, are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.





Highly Erodible Land

A soil map with an erodibilty index (EI) of 8 or greater is considered to be highly erodible land (HEL). The EI for a soil map unit is determined by dividing the potential erodibility for the soil map unit by the soil loss tolerance (T) value established for the soil in the FOTG as of January 1, 1990. Potential erodibility is based on default values for rainfall amount and intensity, percent and length of slope, surface texture and organic matter, permeability, and plant cover. Actual erodibility and EI for any specific map unit depends on the actual values for these properties.

Erosion Classification

	% Area
Not highly erodible land	31.5
Potentially highly erodible land	51.0
Highly erodible land	13.6
Water	3.9
County Boundary	



Land Capability Classification

Land capability classification shows, in a general way, the suitability of soils for most kinds of field crops. Crops that require special management are excluded. The soils are grouped according to their limitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are used for crops, and the way they respond to management. The criteria used in grouping the soils do not include major and generally expensive landforming that would change slope, depth, or other characteristics of the soils, nor do they include possible but unlikely major reclamation projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for interpretations that show suitability and limitations of groups of soils for rangeland, for woodland, and for engineering purposes.

Land Capability Classification	% Area
Well Suited (Capability Class 1 -2)	20.8
Moderately well suited (Capability Class 3)	62.6
Poorly suited (Capability Class 4 -5)	8.2
Unsuited (Capability Class 6 - 8)	3.0
Unclassified	5.4
County Boundary	







Cropland on Highly Erodible Land

There are 8971.2 acres on highly erodible land, which is approximately 9.6% of all the cultivated cropland in the watershed.



Cropland on Hydric Soils

There are 340.0 acres on hydric soils, which is approximately 0.4% of all cultivated cropland in the watershed.





Cropland on Poor or Unsuited Soil

There are 5235.4 acres on poor or unsuited land, which is approximately 5.6% of all the cultivated cropland in the watershed.

Cropland within 1000 feet of an Agricultural Impaired Stream





Resource Concerns

Major resource concerns in the area include:

- sheet and rill erosion
- sedimentation
- maintenance of organic matter on cropland
- soil productivity
- management of soil moisture

Conservation Practices

Common conservation practices for cropland:

- crop residue management
- contour farming
- nutrient management
- pest management
- cover crops
- residue management
- grass - legume planting



PRS Performance Measures [18]

	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	Total
Total Conservation Systems Planned (acres)	984	2575	1428	2771	4145	NA	3775	3250	18,928
Total Conservation Systems Applied (acres)	1484	1862	2000	2487	1491	NA	4108	2551	15,983
Key Conservation Treatments									
Waste Storage Facility (number)	1	3	5	1	0	1	1	1	13
Riparian Forest Buffer (acres)	1	70	12	26	22	16	38	9	194
Erosion Control Total Soils Saved (tons/year)	693	672	1423	651	683	NA	NA	NA	4,122
Nutrient Management (acres)	650	1144	1060	2040	169	10	382	153	5,608
Pest Management (acres)	0	0	27	105	0	0	0	32	164
Prescribed Grazing (acres)	0	1238	108	1331	822	1880	613	194	6,186
Tree and Shrub Establishment (acres)	0	11	2	4	4	5	4	36	66
Residue Management (acres)	1408	222	859	454	295	1124	1921	1567	7,850
Wildlife Habitat (acres)	350	175	43	49	111	0	189	277	1,194
Wetlands Created, Restored, or Established	14	160	19	31	44	2	14	22	306
Acres in Conservation Programs									
Conservation Technical Assistance									
Planned	363	2483	1068	210	2805	NA	2600	2196	11,725
Applied	1483	1751	1329	894	1241	NA	2475	1414	10,587
Conservation Reserve Program									
Planned	15	74	3	24	201	NA	381	303	1,001
Applied	326	161	2	44	20	NA	205	292	1,050
Environmental Quality Incentive Program									
Planned	0	214	24	0	231	NA	250	370	1,089
Applied	0	234	422	115	2	NA	803	697	2,273
Farmland Protection Policy/Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program									
Planned	0	773	0	0	0	NA	0	0	773
Applied	0	143	0	0	0	NA	0	0	143
Forestry Incentive Program									
Planned	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0
Applied	0	0	0	10	0	NA	0	0	10
Grasslands Reserve Program									
Planned				0	0	NA	0	0	0
Applied				0	0	NA	0	0	0
Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative									
Planned	0	752	117						869
Applied	0	752	106						858
Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program									
Planned	13	66	0	0	0	NA	0	0	79
Applied	0	66	0	0	38	NA	65	0	169
Wetlands Reserve Program									
Planned	0	20	0	0	0	NA	0	18	38
Applied	0	20	0	0	0	NA	0	18	38

NA - Reporting was unavailabel by Hydrologic Unit Code



Social and Census Data [19]

	Crawford	Lawrence	Mercer	Total
Farms (number)	215	270	881	1,366
Land in farms (acres)	33,710	33,403	116,822	183,935
Total cropland (acres)	20,265	22,669	77,537	120,471
Principal operator by primary occupation - Farming (number)	123	157	488	768
Farms by Size				
1 to 9 acres	13	20	46	79
10 to 49 acres	50	75	202	327
50 to 179 acres	95	122	462	679
180 to 499 acres	47	42	143	232
500 to 999 acres	8	9	20	37
1,000 acres or more	3	2	9	14
Livestock and Poultry				
Cattle and calves inventory (farms)	124	157	515	796
Cattle and calves inventory - Beef cows (farms)	71	99	311	481
Cattle and calves inventory - Milk cows (farms)	45	51	156	252
Hogs and pigs inventory (farms)	18	37	70	125
Sheep and lambs inventory (farms)	8	22	56	86
Layers 20 weeks old and older inventory (farms)	24	33	80	137
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold (farms)	3	6	23	32
Crops Harvested				
Corn for grain (acres)	3,461	4,734	17,492	25,687
Corn for silage or greenchop (acres)	1,292	1,705	4,875	7,872
Wheat for grain, all (acres)	208	843	2,142	3,193
Oats for grain (acres)	810	1,203	4,029	6,042
Barley for grain (acres)	39	74	213	326
Soybeans for beans (acres)	1,422	2,094	6,757	10,273
Forage - land used for all hay and all haylage, grass silage, and greenchop (acres)	8,737	7,785	27,253	43,775
Vegetables harvested for sale (acres)	66	114	538	718
Land in orchards (acres)	24	41	57	122
Total cropland harvested (acres)	16,155	18,079	61,746	95,980
Farm Operator by Ethnicity				
White	316	393	1311	2,020
Black or African American	0	1	0	1
Asian	0	1	1	2
Hispanic	2	3	9	14
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander	0	2	0	2
Women	81	93	350	524



Partnership Groups:

A cooperative project involving NRCS and conservation partners, including:

- State Conservation Commission
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
- Pennsylvania Game Commission
- Pennsylvania Grazing/Forage Lands Conservation Coalition
- Pennsylvania Fish & Boat Commission



Footnotes/Bibliography

All data is provided "as is". There is no warranties, express or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for planning purpose only.

1. Common Resource Area
   Common Resource Area (CRA) delineation is defined as a geographical area where resource concerns, problems, or treatment needs are similar. More information can be found online at http://soils.usda.gov/survey/geography/cra.html
2. National Elevation Dataset (NED)
   The NED is a seamless mosaic of the best-available elevation data. The primary source data were the USGS 7.5-minute (30-meter or 10-meter resolution) DEM's. A hillshade grid was also created using the DEM and used to creare a 3-D effect. More inforamtion on NED can be found online at http://ned.usgs.gov/
3. Land Use / Land Cover 2001
   Land Use / Land Cover map was created using the National Land Cover Dataset. The National Land Cover Dataset was compiled from Landsat satellite TM imagery with a spatial resolution of 30 meters and supplemented by various ancillary data (where available). More inforamtion can be found online at http://landcover.usgs.gov/
4. Average Annual Precipitation
   The average annual precipitation data for this map layer were produced through a partnership between NRCS and the Spatial Climate Analysis Service at Oregon State University (OSU). The average annual precipitation is from 1961 through 1990. More information can be found online at http://www.ncgc.nrcs.usda.gov/products/datasets/climate/index.html
5. National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)
   The NWI maps do not show all wetlands since the maps are derived from aerial photointerpretation with varying limitations due to scale, photo quality, inventory techniques, and other factors. More information can be found online at http://www.fws.gov/nwi/
6. Impaired Streams
   Impaired Streams were derived from Pennsylavania Department of Protection Office of Water Management, 2006 list on Non-Attaining Streams. More information can be found on DEP website at http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/dep/site/default.asp
7. Abandoned Mine Land
   Abandoned Mine Land data was received from the Office of Surface Mining. The data set shows the approximate location of Abandoned Mine Land Problem Areas containing public health, safety, and public welfare problems created by past coal mining. More information can be found online at http://www.osmre.gov/osmaml.htm
8. Exceptional Value and High Quality Streams
   Exceptional Value and High Quality Streams were taken from the Chapter 93 data layer received from Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. For more information on what qualifies a stream as exceptional value or high quality or any information on Chapter 93 streams go to http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/025/chapter93/chap93toc.html



Footnotes/Bibliography

9. Pennsylvania Trout Waters
   Pennsylvania Trout Water data is compiled by the Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission. This layer was created based on the 1:24000 National Hydropahy Dataset (NHD) water bodies layer. More information can be found online at http://www.fish.state.pa.us/fishpub/summary/troutwaters.html
10. Total Maximun Daily Load (TMDL)
    TMDL is the sum of the individual waste load allocations and load allocations which would not produce a violation of water quality standards. The data used is from 2003, the PA Department of Environmental Protection is currently working on updating the GIS data available. More information can be found on TMDL locations in PA at http://www.dep.state.pa.us/watermanagement_apps/tmdl/, and/or nationally at http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl/
11. Water Quality Testing Points
    Water Quality Testing Points monitor water quality with emphasis on stream acidity in Pennsylvania with an assoiciated database. The database contains more than 33,466 records on water quality from 1986 to the present from 622 testing sites throughout Pennsylvania. Information in the records includes alkalinity and Ph and includes nitrates and phosphates for some sites since 1996.
    The information is maintained by the Alliance for Aquatic Resource Monitoring. More information can be found online at http://alpha.dickinson.edu/storg/allarm/allarm%20projects/database.htm
12. Water Resource Points
    A Water Resource is a DEP primary facility type related to the Water Use Planning Program. More information can be found http://www.depweb.state.pa.us/dep/site/default.asp
13. Natural Heritage Inventory Sites
    The Natural Areas polygons were developed by the Pennsylvania Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) County Natural Heritage Inventory (CNHI) Program. Natural Areas were identified using map and air photo interpretation, aerial rconnaissance, and field surveys. More information and county reports can be found online at http://www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us/
14. Pennsylvania Breeding Bird Atlas
    Data was taken for the 1st Pennsylvania Breeding Bird Atlas (1992). For this watershed assessment, fourteen bird species were chosen to be focused on. More information about all bird species can be obtained at http://www.carnegiemnh.org/atlas/home.htm
15. Important Bird Areas
    The Important Bird Areas Program (IBA) is a global effort to identify and conserve areas that are vital to birds and other biodiversity. For more information nationally and/or on the state level go to http://www.audubon.org/bird/iba/
16. Important Mammal Areas
    Important Mammal Areas Project, IMAP, the first program of it's kind, was created by the Mammal Technical Committee of the Pennsylvania Biological Survey (PaBS). For more inforamtion go online to http://www.pawildlife.org/imap.htm



Footnotes/Bibliography

17. Soils

    Soil Survey spatial and tabular data were used for the following survey areas:

    Crawford County (PA039)
    Lawrence County (PA603)
    Mercer County (PA085)

    Spatial and tabular data an be downloaded at http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/

18. Performance Results System (PRS)

    PRS data was extracted from PRS by year, conservation system, conservation practice, and proagrams by hydrologic unit code. More information can be found online at the PRS homepage http://ias.sc.egov.usda.gov/prshome/

19. Social and Census Data

    Ag census data and ethnicity data were downloaded from the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). The data was adjusted by percent of Hydrologic unit in the county. More inforamtion can be found online at http://www.nass.usda.gov/Census_of_Agriculture/index.asp